

Latissimus Dorsi tetanic fusion frequency in clinical settings: Monitoring fast to slow muscle transformation during follow-up of Demand Dynamic Cardiomyoplasty

Gianluca Rigatelli, Ugo Carraro (1)

Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Endoluminal Interventions, Rovigo General Hospital, Rovigo, Italy; (1) Laboratory of Translational Myology of the University of Padua Interdepartmental Research Center of Myology & C.N.R. Institute of Neuroscience c/o Department of Biomedical Science, Padua, Italy

Abstract

Limited systolic assistance by weak power of the Latissimus Dorsi Muscle (LDM) due to excessive slow speed of shortening have been considered a problem of Dynamic CardioMyoPlasty (DyCMP) after its creation by Carpentier and Chachques. To improve systolic assistance, and to reduce potential muscular damage, we introduced the concept of activity-rest stimulation, to deliver fewer impulses per day than with the clinical standard protocol. This was achieved by providing the LD wrap with daily periods of rest (demand stimulation) based on a heart rate cut-off. We here review how the demand protocol was introduced in 4 patients from the beginning and in 10 patients which had previously undergone continuous stimulation DyCMP. These ten subjects, with no short-term to mid-term prospect of heart transplantation, were switched to the demand stimulation for worsening of clinical conditions. Furthermore, we report how the long-term changes in the LDM in contraction speed had been monitored by Tetanic Fusion Frequency (TFF) analysis (Mechanographic interrogation). In the 10 subjects switched two years after continuous stimulation the TFF values were significantly higher (33 ± 8.0 versus $15.8 \pm 11\text{Hz}$; $p < 0.0001$) than at the start of the demand protocol. Although the long-term survival in this small group is not comparable with the one of transplant patients, demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty showed encouraging results compared with classic cardiomyoplasty. Since heart transplantation after cardiomyoplasty procedure is still possible and feasible, the procedure could be revived as a biological bridge for persons in the transplant waiting list, in particular in those countries in which economic and cultural constraints strongly limit heart transplants.

Key Words: Demand Dynamic Cardiomyoplasty, Dynamic cardiomyoplasty, Non-invasive monitoring of Latissimus Dorsi, LD, Tetanic Fusion Frequency, TFF

Basic Applied Myology 19 (1): 25-30, 2009

Questionable systolic assistance and degeneration of latissimus dorsi muscle (LDM) have been considered the main drawbacks of Dynamic Cardiomyoplasty (DyCMP) since its creation by Carpentier and Chachques [4]. Many authors only recognized the passive girdle effect of DyCMP to be its most important mechanism of action [3, 9, 15, 19]. To hopefully improve LDM power and expected systolic assistance, fewer impulses per day were delivered than with the standard clinical stimulation protocol in successful preliminary sheep experiments [1]. This was then achieved in humans by providing the LDM wrap with daily periods of rest (demand stimulation) based on a heart rate cut-off [1, 6]. Our studies proved that effective measurable systolic assistance is possible in

patients who early underwent a demand stimulation protocol. Systolic assistance was superior when the demand protocol was started early after surgery and was lower to virtually absent when the demand protocol was started several months/years after continuous burst stimulation [2, 5, 6, 20, 21].

Materials and Methods

The demand protocol was electively introduced in four patients from the Italian Trial of Demand Dynamic Cardiomyoplasty (DemDynWrap) and in 10 patients who had previously undergone DyCMP for worsening of clinical conditions during DyCMP continuous stimulation with no short-term to mid-term prospect of heart transplantation. Informed consent to intervention

Monitoring of LD contraction speed in Demand DyCMP

Basic Applied Myology 19 (1): 25-30, 2009

or change in stimulation protocol (continuous to demand) was obtained from all patients. The stimulator was programmed for demand stimulation at the Department of Cardiology, Cardiomyoplasty Project Unit—Center for Advanced Heart Failure, Legnago Teaching Hospital, Verona, Italy, whereas follow-up was performed at this center as well as at the Division of Cardiology and Rehabilitation, Montescano Medical Center, Maugeri Foundation, Montescano, Pavia, Italy.

Demand Stimulation Protocol

The LDM was stimulated with a single impulse at a 1:3 synchronization ratio after a healing period of 10 to 14 days. An extra impulse was then added every week at a 23-ms interval (43 Hz) for a final burst of four impulses, with an amplitude more than 5 V and pulse width of 1.5 ms. After 6 to 12 months of this light daily stimulation, the patients took part in the demand regimen, which gave the LDM wrap a daily period of rest [2]. To provide the LDM wrap with daily periods of rest, a 24-hour Holter study was performed first to determine the average heart rate during sleep. The pacing variables of the cardiomyostimulator (Transform, model 4710; Medtronic, Inc, Minneapolis, MN) were programmed at a rate of 70 to 80 beats/min, with minimum pulse amplitude (<1 V) and pulse width (<0.05 ms). Muscle output was programmed to "Sense," occurring only with sensed cardiac events, not with paced events. In this way, the lower rate was set just above the average night-time heart rate, and the cardiomyostimulator worked during resting hours at an energy level well below requirements for activating the heart. During these pacing episodes, muscle output was inhibited. The result was that muscle stimulation was inhibited during the resting hours and occurred at the programmed synchronization ratio during activity, providing an activity-rest stimulation regimen [6].

The LD Mechanogram: Non-invasive monitoring of Latissimus Dorsi Wrap Function

Dynamic contractile characteristics of the LDM wrap, ie, speed of contraction and relaxation, can be monitored using a standard polygraph (MegaCart or Mingophon, Siemens Elema, Solna, Sweden). Electrocardiogram and pressure changes caused by LDM contraction can be simultaneously recorded as previously described [1, 5, 6]. The dynamic characteristics of the LDM wrap are determined by the LDM response to stimuli delivered at increasing frequency up to tetanic fusion frequency (TFF).

A smooth contraction curve can then be plotted: the faster the fibres, the higher the TFF. This method is also used for monitoring cardiac/LDM contraction synchronization. At low stimulation frequency, the mechanogram shows that some relaxation occurs in between in-burst impulses (unfused tetanus). At higher stimulation frequency, a smooth curve is recorded

(fused tetanus), which lasts as long as the tetanic contraction is maintained (200 ms with four impulses delivered at 43 Hz). The normal value of TFF for human LD muscle is 43 Hz; the fused tetanus is obtained with in-burst impulses delivered at 23-ms intervals [6]. Usually, the normal value of TFF for human muscle is expected to be 43 Hz (the tetanic contraction with pulses delivered at 23 msec intervals). The mechanogram is also useful to precisely synchronize the LD wrap contraction to cardiac systoles. The value of 43-Hz TFF, which characterizes a fast-contracting muscle, provides systolic assistance, whereas a TFF less than 26 Hz, characterizing a slow-contracting muscle, provides a very low or immeasurable systolic assist [20, 21].

Systolic Assistance Measurement: Doppler Flow-wire

In order to assess the effective contribution of demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty to systolic assistance expressed by increasing in the aortic peak velocity, we tested the usefulness of a .018 inch peripheral FlexTM Doppler flow wire (Cardiometrics, Inc Mountain View, California, USA) advanced under fluoroscopy into the descending thoracic aorta through a 4F catheter introduced via a 5F femoral sheath. The catheter served to maintain the wire at a steady position, coaxial to the vessel throughout the entire cardiac cycle. A peripheral type flow wire was chosen for its stiffness and easy parameter setting for aortic measurements. It was connected to its digital display and registration of aortic flow velocity was performed at standard settings. Three 1-minute periods with the stimulator off and three 1-minute periods with the stimulator on (ratio assisted: unassisted beats = 1: 3) were recorded, avoiding hemodynamic changes due to external factors. Quantitative data were expressed as % variation and calculated as mean + SD.

Statistical Analysis

Paired Student's *t* test was used to compare data before and after demand DyCMP, whereas frequencies were compared using the Chi-square test. A *p* value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Clinical and laboratory data were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation or as percentage.

Results

At the start of the demand protocol, the two groups were comparable for sex, age, casue of dilated cardiomyopathy, NYHA class, and left ventricular ejection fraction (Table 1). At follow-up, the DemDynWrap group showed a better NYHA class, higher LVEF, and TFF, and a better survival rate (85.7% versus 28.6%; *p* = 0.037) than the adynamic-girdling group. Event-free rate was not significantly different (50% versus 45%; *p* = 0.217) between the DemDynWrap group and the the adynamic-girdling group. Seven patients had TFF more than 26 Hz

Monitoring of LD contraction speed in Demand DyCMP

Basic Applied Myology 19 (1): 25-30, 2008

(DemDynWrap) and the other 7 had TFF less than 26 Hz (adynamic girdling). Duration of continuous burst stimulation correlates directly with TFF ($r = 0.64$; $p = 0.014$) and indirectly with LVEF ($r = 0.61$; $p = 0.009$) values, suggesting that the longer the duration of continuous stimulation, the smaller is the systolic assistance.

Measurements of increase in aortic peak velocity were performed in seven patients (M/F= 6/1; age= 57.1+6.2 years; atrial fibrillation/sinus rhythm= 1/6; follow-up= 40+12 months) with previous demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty. Aortic flow velocity was increased in assisted versus rest period, and in assisted versus unassisted beats (8.4+7.0% $p=0.001$ and 7.5+3.0% $p=0.01$). A linear correlation was found between increase in flow velocity and LD wrap tetanic fusion frequency ($r^2=0.53, p=0.03$). According with these results, the mean NYHA class at follow-up was significantly lower when compared with pre-demand one: 1.4 + 0.5 versus 3+ 0 ($p<0.01$), whereas the mean value of EF at follow-up was significantly higher: 37.7 + 5.8% versus 26.8+ 2.4% ($p<0.01$), respectively. Moreover, the value of TFF was significantly higher at the follow-up (23 + 8.9 versus 35 + 7.2 Hz, $p<0.05$) rather than before the starting of demand stimulation, suggesting that in demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty, systolic assistance is significant and correlated to latissimus dorsi speed of contraction.

Discussion

The demand stimulation protocol was introduced [1, 6] in hopes of avoiding complete LDM wrap transformation caused by the continuous stimulation protocol of the US Food and Drug Administration phase 2 trial used by the American Cardiomyoplasty Group [12]. It is well known that a muscle that has been fully transformed by continuous stimulation displays significant loss of power, generally attributed to fiber type change or loss of type 2 myofibers (fast-contracting myofibers) [23]. The inclusion of daily rest periods during chronic burst electrical conditioning maintains myofiber cross-sectional area and produces fatigue-resistant myofibers of faster contraction speed, and creates a more powerful fatigue-resistant muscle. The improved performance of such LDM is because of the

maintenance of an intermediate level of LDM transformation, thanks to the activity-rest regimen on demand [1, 12, 13, 16].

Although the results of demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty in Italy at 10-year follow-up may be considered sub-optimal (Rigatelli et al 2008, in press [22]), we believe that some important points could be kept in mind. The evaluation of correct mechanism of work in patients with demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty is probably the main achievement of our experience. In DyCMP, there are two possible mechanisms to explain improved clinical results: limited heart dilation (heart remodeling) and the enhancement of systolic contraction. Systolic assistance has been suggested, but not proven by studies revealing low-to-moderate increase in LVEF and stroke volume [11, 18]. Nevertheless, a notable improvement in NYHA class, usually from class III or higher to class II or lower, has been reported worldwide, although improvements in LVEF seem to be limited to early follow-up periods [8, 11, 12, 17]. Therefore, there is a general consensus that only a passive girdle effect occurs long term with classic DyCMP. Contractile characteristics and fat and fibrotic degeneration of the LDM wrap, described in a few cases after continuous burst stimulation, may play an important role in this general opinion.

From pathological and morphological studies [1, 13], it appears that muscle degeneration, previously related to surgical dissection of the muscle and/or chronic stimulation is indeed mainly the result of contraction load imposed to an ischemic muscle [10, 23-27]. While a 2-week delay in stimulation after cardiomyoplasty was introduced to attenuate early damage, it may indeed contribute to muscle atrophy and loss of function [14].

These studies led to the concepts that a period of low-frequency pre-stimulation before LDM transfer have a protective effect on the grafted LDM [10, 23-27], and that intermittent burst stimulation may result in a long-term fatigue-resistant, fast-contracting LDM wrap, contributing to more-effective cardiac support. The latter is the reason to introduce Demand Stimulation, i.e., activity-rest patterns of LDM stimulation [1, 2, 6, 13, 20, 21], to improve results of classic DyCMP [7].

Table 1. Data of DemDyn and Adynamic girdling groups and results comparison at 5-year follow-up.

	DemDyn	Adynamic	p
Male/female	6/1	6/1	-
Age (years)	58.4+ 5.3	58.1+ 5.9	ns
Chronic stimulation (months)	18.9+10.2	47+22.4	0.012
Mean decrease in NYHA class	2.14+0.7	0.43+0.5	0.007
Mean percent increment of LVEF	13.7+7.1	5.3+2.4	0.002
Actuarial survival	85.7%	28.6%	0.037
TFF (Hz)	38.3+5.8	24.3+2.9	0.002

LVEF: left ventricle ejection fraction on transthoracic echocardiography; TFF: tetanic fusion frequency.

Monitoring of LD contraction speed in Demand DyCMP

Basic Applied Myology 19 (1): 25-30, 2009

Our data shows that the amount of systolic assistance correlate well to muscular properties and are maintained with time [20, 21]. Altogether, these results explain the higher TFF values and the improvements in NYHA class and in LVEF value, as well as the good survival rate in the DemandDynWrap group of Italian patients. Moreover, in the patients in whom demand protocol was started after several years of the continuous burst stimulation protocol, the TFF not only maintained its initial value, but in many cases surprisingly increased. This is sound evidence of muscle plasticity in humans, and supports the opinion that muscle degeneration is not a necessary outcome of long-term continuous burst stimulation of LD wrap in DyCMP. Unfortunately, despite this encouraging results and evidences, the program was stopped due to the scarce interest of companies in developing “ad hoc” cardiomyostimulators.

All together, our results points to Demand DyCMP as a potential alternative to other bridge to Heart Transplant, a procedure that without the heavy limitation of heart donors could have solved the increasing problems that population senescence accumulate in the affluent countries. Of course, Demand DyCMP would be an even more important option in those countries in which economic and cultural problems strongly decrease total heart transplants.

Acknowledgements

Supported in part by institutional funds from the Italian National Research Council (C.N.R.) to the Unit for Neuromuscular Biology and Physiopathology of the Neuroscience Institute. Supported by the Italian Ministry of University and Scientific and Technologic Research (M.U.R.S.T. contract n. 9806192428): Italian Trial of Demand Dynamic Cardiomyoplasty and ex60% funds to U.C.

Address Correspondence to:

Gianluca Rigatelli, Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Endoluminal Interventions, Rovigo General Hospital, Rovigo, Italy - Via W.A. Mozart, 9; I-37040 Legnago (Verona), Italy; Phone: +3903471912016; Fax: +39044220164; E-mail: jackyheart@libero.it

References

- [1] Arpesella G, Carraro U, Mikus PM, et al. Activity-rest stimulation of latissimus dorsi for cardiomyoplasty: 1-year results in sheep. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1998; 66: 1983–1990.
- [2] Barbiero M, Carraro U, Riccardi R. Demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty. Two years results. *Basic Appl Myol* 1999; 9: 195–206.
- [3] Bocchi EA, Moreira LF, de Moraes AV, et al. Effects of dynamic cardiomyoplasty on regional wall motion, ejection fraction, and geometry of left ventricle. *Circulation* 1992; 86: 231–5.
- [4] Carpentier A, Chachques JC. Myocardial substitution with a stimulated skeletal muscle: first successful clinical case. *Lancet* 1985; 1: 1267.
- [5] Carraro U, Barbiero M, Docali G, et al. Dynamic cardiomyoplasty: long term viability demonstrated by non-invasive on-line analysis of dynamic contractile characteristics of the human latissimus dorsi flap in Italian subjects. *J Cardiovasc Diagn Proced* 1998; 15: 115–125.
- [6] Carraro U, Barbiero M, Docali G, et al. Demand dynamic cardiomyoplasty. Mechanograms prove incomplete transformation of the rested latissimus dorsi. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000; 70: 67–73.
- [7] Chachques JC, Grandjean P, Schwartz K, Mihaileanu S, Fardeau M, Swynghedauw B, Fontaliran F, Romero N, Wisnewsky C, Perier P, et al. Effect of latissimus dorsi dynamic cardiomyoplasty on ventricular function. *Circulation* 1988; 78: III203-216.
- [8] Chachques JC, Marino JP, Lajos P, et al. Dynamic cardiomyoplasty: clinical follow-up at 12 years. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 1997; 12: 560–567.
- [9] Chiang BB, Ali AT, Riordan C, et al. Variable effects of cardiomyoplasty on left ventricular function. *Artif Organs* 1997; 21: 1277–1283.
- [10] El Oakley RM, Jarvis JC, Barman D, Greenhalgh DL, Currie J, Downham DY, Salmons S, Hooper TL. Factors affecting the integrity of latissimus dorsi muscle grafts: implication for cardiac assistance form skeletal muscle. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1995; 14: 359-365.
- [11] Furnary AP, Magovern JA, Christlieb IY, et al. Clinical cardiomyoplasty: preoperative factors associated with outcome. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1992; 54: 1139–1143.
- [12] Furnay AP, Jessup FM, Moreira LP. Multicenter trial of dynamic cardiomyoplasty for chronic heart failure. The American Cardiomyoplasty Group. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1996; 28: 1175–80.
- [13] Iannuzzo CD, Iannuzzo SE, Anderson WA. Cardiomyoplasty: transformation of the assisting muscle using intermittent versus continuous stimulation. *J Card Surg* 1996; 11: 293–303.
- [14] Iannuzzo CD, Iannuzzo SE, Carson N, et al. Cardiomyoplasty: degeneration of the assisting skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol* 1996; 80: 105–113.
- [15] Jondeau G, Dorent R, Bors V, et al. Dynamic cardiomyoplasty: effect of discontinuing latissimus dorsi muscle stimulation on left ventricular systolic and diastolic performance and exercise capacity. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;

Monitoring of LD contraction speed in Demand DyCMP

Basic Applied Myology 19 (1): 25-30, 2008

- 26: 129–134.
- [16] Kashem A, Santamore WP, Chiang B, et al. Vascular delay and intermittent stimulation: keys to successful latissimus dorsi muscle stimulation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000; 71: 1866–1873.
- [17] Lange R, Sack FU, Voss B, et al. Dynamic cardiomyoplasty: indication, surgical technique, and results. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1995; 43: 243–251.
- [18] Lorusso R, Alfieri O, Carraro U, et al. Preserved skeletal muscle structure with modified electrical stimulation protocol in a cardiomyoplasty patient: a clinico-pathological report. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 1998;13: 213–215.
- [19] Magovern GJ, Simpson KA. Clinical Cardiomyoplasty: review of the ten-year United States experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1996; 61: 413–419.
- [20] Rigatelli G, Carraro U, Barbiero M, et al. Activity-rest stimulation protocol improves cardiac assistance in dynamic cardiomyoplasty. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2002; 21: 478–482.
- [21] Rigatelli GL, Carraro U, Barbiero M, et al. New hopes for dynamic cardiomyoplasty from use of Doppler flow wire inevaluation of demand stimulation. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 2001; 43: 67–70.
- [22] Rigatelli GL, et al. Demand Dynamic Bio-girdling 10-year results. *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*, in press.
- [23] Salmons S, Henriksson, The adaptive response of skeletal muscle to increased use. *Muscle Nerve* 1981; 4: 94-105.
- [24] Tang ATM, Jarvis JC, Hooper TL, Salmons S. Observation and basis of improved blood flow to the distal latissimus dorsi muscle: a case for electrical stimulation prior to grafting. *Cardiovascular Research* 1998; 40: 131-137.
- [25] Tang ATM, Jarvis JC, Hooper TL, Salmons S. Cardiomyoplasty: the benefits of electrical prestimulation of the latissimus dorsi muscle in situ. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999; 68: 46-51.
- [26] Woo EB-C, Jarvis JC, Hooper TL, Salmons S. Avoiding ischemia in latissimus dorsi muscle grafts: electrical prestimulation versus vascular delay. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002; 73: 1927-1932.
- [27] Woo EB-C, Tang ATM, Jarvis JC, Hasleton PS, Salmons S, Hooper TL. Improved viability of latissimus dorsi muscle grafts after electrical prestimulation. *Muscle Nerve* 2002; 25: 679-684.