Ultrasound screening at 11-14 weeks of pregnancy for diagnosis of placenta accreta in mothers with a history of cesarean section
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to add ultrasonographic screening criteria for placenta accreta in patients with cesarean section history at 11-14 weeks of gestation in order to identify those with the high risk of placenta accreta. Consequently, using ultrasound follow-up and confirmation of diagnosis can reduce mortality and morbidity. Pregnant mothers who were referred for routine screening for ultrasonography at 11–14 weeks of gestation were enrolled in the study if they had a history of cesarean section. Of the 184 subjects who were included in the study, 23 of the low-risk groups were excluded from study for some reason such as miscarriage and a lack of required information on the time of delivery. Among the 152 subjects, 27 were classified in the high-risk group (17.7%) and 125 subjects in the low-risk group (82.3%). Only one case in the high risk group was identified in patients with placenta accreta by ultrasound and clinical diagnosis, which has undergone a cesarean section at the gestational age of 35 weeks. There was no relationship between placenta accreta and disease risk, placenta previa, cesarean section, maternal age, and gravidity. Therefore, placenta accreta screening using ultrasonography can identify high-risk individuals at week 14-11 and with subsequent follow-up for improving prenatal prognosis.
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Ultrasound is the elective diagnostic method for placenta accreta, that may detect this complication in 50-80% of cases.\textsuperscript{11,13,16} Despite attempts to detect placenta accreta in the second and third trimester of pregnancy, and the use of ultrasound and MRI, many cases of placenta accreta are diagnosed with pre and postpartum hemorrhages at the time of delivery.\textsuperscript{17,18} It is precisely hard to determine the exact incidence of placenta accreta, since the standard sources of diagnostic confirmation are histological findings. Currently, according to the Ministry of Health, the average cesarean section rate in Iran is three times more than the global average, and this trend increased from 35% of deliveries in 2000 to 40% in 2005. This figure is up to 60% in private hospitals. According to the statistics provided in the year 2014 by the Ministry of Health, the incidence of cesarean delivery in some regions of Iran is up to 70% of births. Following an increase in the number of cesarean sections in the country, the complications of this surgery, such as placenta accreta and placenta previa, in subsequent pregnancy would increase.

The main goal of our study was the addition of ultrasound criteria for screening of placenta accreta at 11-14 weeks of gestation in pregnant mothers with a history of cesarean section, in order to identify those at high risk for placenta accreta. Subsequent follow-up by ultrasound analyses and other diagnostic confirmations could reduce severe morbidity and mortality of this complication.

Materials and Methods

Study population

In this cohort study, the population consisted of pregnant women at 11 to 14 weeks of gestation [crown rump length (CRL) of fetus = 45-84 mm], with a history of cesarean section, who were referred for routine first screening ultrasound (transvaginal sonography). Our study was conducted in Akbarabadi Hospital, Tehran, Iran, during a period of 2.5 years, from April 2012 to November 2014. Pregnant women entered the study if they had a history of at least one cesarean section. Informed consent was taken at enrollment for both entering study and for use of personal medical records. Demographic characteristics (age, number of pregnancies) and medical history (cesarean section, curettage, history of uterine surgery, etc.) were recorded in a questionnaire. In the transvaginal sonography, midsagittal plane includes the cervical and bladder canal and the lower part of the gestational sac. Ultimately, the patients were classified into two groups, a low-risk and a high-risk groups based on location of gestational\textsuperscript{1} sac, uterine scar and the relationship between the scar and the trophoblast (placenta). High risk group: the scar of the myometrium were inside the cavity (exposed scar) and above the lower edge of the placenta (the placenta is on the scar); moreover, the gestational sac also covered the cervix and the lower part of the uterus (the lower lying gestational sac) (Figure 1). Low risk group: if surgical scar was protected by the cervical isthmic canal (Figure 2,A) or the placenta was not placed on the innermost side of the cervical canal (no placenta Previa) and the placenta was located higher than the scar area (Figure 2,B). The high-risk group underwent a second ultrasound examination at 19-31 weeks of gestation to

\textsuperscript{1}
confirm or exclude the presence of placenta accreta. Both groups were followed up to delivery and then the cases were recorded according to results of pathology or clinical diagnosis. The reference standard was considered based on the confirmation of histological findings. Although bleeding was sometimes controlled without the need for hysterectomy, if pathology data were not available, clinical findings included: 1. manual removal of the placenta was difficult or it needed a surgical approach; 2. severe and uncontrollable bleeding after removal of the placenta in well-contracted uterus.

Demographic data and medical history of mothers were

Fig 2. Transvaginal ultrasonography, midsagittal cross section. Low risk group. A: Protected scar and placenta at the edge of the endocervical canal; B: exposed scars was revealed but without overlapping of the placenta. C, Cervix; T, Throboplast (placenta); GS, gestational sac.
recorded by ultrasound clerks in a questionnaire. Relationships between maternal demographic characteristics, such as maternal age, number of pregnancies, cesarean sections, history of curettage and myomectomy with placenta accreta, were evaluated by SPSS Statistics version 23. Ultrasound was performed by a radiologist expert in the fields of obstetrics and gynecology. Results were recorded in the patient's form, which included examining the location of the scars and placenta to determine the individual risk. All mothers were evaluated by the SIEMENS G 50 ultrasound machine with a vaginal probe of 9-4 MHz.

Evaluation of postpartum pairing through pathologic outcomes (in the case of hysterectomy) or otherwise on clinical diagnosis proposed by a gynecologist.

Sample size
In order to determine the sample size, we needed an estimate of the prevalence of placenta accreta in Iran. Indeed, there have been no studies on the prevalence of placenta accreta, that is known to be directly related to the number of cesarean section. The rate of cesarean delivery in Iran is three times more than the one reported for global statistics. Thus, the prevalence of placenta accreta in Iran will be different from countries like the United States. While almost all litterature results were case study, just one was similar to our study, but only 105 persons were screened for sonography. Thus, we set the sample size of our study to 200 subjects.

Data analysis

SPSS 23 software was employed in the current study and all data were analyzed by chi-squared test. P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Of the 184 subjects enrolled in the study, 23 of the low-risk groups were excluded, of which three were excluded due to abortion for one to six weeks after ultrasound and others due to a lack of required information on time of delivery. Furthermore, 9 subjects in the high risk group were excluded, one person due to abortion at 14 week of pregnancy and 8 others due to the lack of follow-up sonography. Among the remaining 152 subjects, 27 were classified in to the high risk group (17.7%) and 125 in the low risk group (82.3%).

In people with low risk, no placenta accreta cases were recorded after childbirth follow up. Among the 27 high risk subjects, in the early phase (11-14 weeks), eight (30%) showed a placenta previa (partial or complete). Among some persons in the high risk group, there were no other ultrasound criteria such as placenta previa or low lying, while overlapping between placenta and scars was lost in follow-up ultrasounds (66.6% at 18 weeks, 22.2% at 22 weeks and 3% at 30 weeks pregnancy). In addition, follow-up ultrasounds in these subjects did not show evidence of a placenta accreta (such as placental lacunae or retroplacental complex). Only two subjects in the high risk group, which had an ultrasound examination before the end of the study, were diagnosed with placenta accreta. The first case was 38 years old, with a gravidity of 4, who had a history of 3 times...
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cesarean section, one of which was an emergency cesarean section, and also had a history of curettage. The person referred to the center at 11 weeks of gestation and placenta previa was revealed in the ultrasound and scar was also exposed. Other evidence was also found in the first ultrasound, including a 5 mm suspected defect area in the retroplacental complex near the inner part of cervical sphincter.

Two placental venous lakes were observed in 11 and 12 mm diameters in placenta, while placenta previa was observed in the subsequent ultrasounds. The size of the lacunae increased to 40 mm at pregnancy week 18 and 70 mm at pregnancy week 26. Moreover, myometrial thinning was observed at the site of the defect at pregnancy week 26, while a cystic spaces filled with blood was observed in the posterior myometrial adjacent to the defect at week 30. The serious level of the uterus and bladder were normal in the last ultrasound of the patient at 34 weeks.

The patient with ultrasound diagnosis of placenta accreta was monitored, when it was found to be approximately 30 mm in length. Elective caesarean section was performed by a team of gynecologic surgery, general surgery and anesthesiologist at the age of 35 weeks. During surgery, placenta previa was confirmed. It was not manually detachable, but was surgically removed and a subsequently compression suture was performed. It is worth noting that suture was sufficient to control bleeding, so that and did hysterectomy was not required. Unfortunately, pathology diagnosis was not possible because of the fragmentation of the placenta during its removal and lack of hysterectomy.

The second case was a 30-year-old woman with gravidity 3 and a history of emergency cesarean section due to placenta previa who had been exposed to a scar at 13 weeks of pregnancy and overlapped with a low lying placenta. The placenta remained low lying and myometrial hyperemia and suspected retroplacental insufficiency were observed in the area attached to the scar following subsequent ultrasonography, although no evidence was found for formation of lacunae. The patient was subjected to cesarean section at 38 weeks. The placenta was in lower part and was not easily detached when the surgeon attempted to manually separate the placenta. During the cesarean section, the patient suffered from severe hemorrhage, therefore she was admitted to the ICU for two days and received two units of packed red blood cell. In addition to the mild adhesion of the placenta, the uterus of the patient was also atonic, the bleeding could not be solely due to the placenta accreta as a definitive clinical diagnosis. Therefore this case was not included in the list of diagnosis of placenta accreta. The highest age range of subjects was determined as 40-35 (39%), followed by a range of 30-35 (28.3%). Age-related frequency distribution of the subjects is shown in Figure 3. Among all enrolled subjects, history of curettage was not found in 67% of the subjects; in the remaining 25% of samples, history of curettage was obtained (Table 1). Of the 152 subjects, 136 had once a cesarean section (73.5%), (Table 2). Among the subjects, gravidity 2 was detected in 101 (52.2%) and 58 (31.1%) of the patients seen in other gravidity, gravidity 3 (Table 3). Among all enrolled subjects, 44 (24%) patients had an emergency cesarean section, and history of myomectomy was seen in 3 patients (1.6%). Based on the chi square test, a significant correlation was found between the placenta accreta and risk of disease (p= 0.048). This means that the occurrence of placenta accreta is higher in the high risk group as compared to the other group. Based on chi square test, there was no significant correlation between placenta accreta and gravidity (p = 0.078). Furthermore, a significant relationship was found between the placenta previa and placenta accreta, which means that the presence of placenta previa is associated with an increase in the incidence of placenta accreta. We have consistently indicated a statistically significant association between placenta accreta and number of previous cesarean sections (p < 0.001). As matter of fact, an increase in the number of previous cesarean sections was associated with higher risk of placenta accreta. Based on the information provided herein, the occurrence of eccentricity was not significantly related to history of uterine surgery (myomectomy or surgery for uterine anomalies), history of curettage, age of the patient, gravidity and history of emergency cesarean section.

Discussion

Placenta accreta spectrum is a complex disorder related to abnormal adherence of the placental trophoblast to the uterine myometrium which leads to severe hemorrhage at the time of attempted vaginal delivery. It is considered as an important pregnancy condition strongly linked to abnormal placenta growth into the uterus wall. In this study, there was a significant correlation between the number of previous cesarean section and placenta accreta. Moreover, there was a strong relationship between placenta previa and placenta accreta. In the current study, no significant correlation was found between placenta accreta and other factors such as history of curettage, emergency cesarean section, maternal age, gravidity and uterine surgery. In the present study, the incidence of placenta accreta was 0.65% among those with a history of cesarean section, or 65 per 10,000 women with a history of cesarean section. Given the fact that the population studied is merely mothers with a history of cesarean section, the overall prevalence of Placenta accreta cannot be estimated based on the type of delivery. Prevalence of placenta accreta in women with a history of cesarean section and placenta previa (in the preliminary study) was calculated to be 12.5%. Based on data presented, there was significant relationship between placenta accreta and risk of disease, which is more likely to be
higher in high-risk individuals than in the other group due to the factors that were considered for the high risk group such as placenta previa. Many studies have also reported a significant relationship between placenta previa and placenta accreta, that is an independent risk factor, and also the presence of exposed scar with overlapping trophoblast is another known risk factor for placenta accreta. Furthermore, our findings demonstrated the association of placenta accreta with the number of previous cesareans and placenta previa, that an increase in the number of cesarean sections is likely linked to the probability of placenta accreta, this association has also been proven in other studies. As other study indicated, in patients with placenta previa, placenta accreta risk has been found to be 2% in subjects less than 35 years old with no history of cesarean section, while this risk increased to 39% in subjects over the age of 35 with

### Table 1. History of curettage and its frequency in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Curettage</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 and more</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Distribution of previous cesarean section in the population under study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Previous cesarean</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>73/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 and more</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. The frequency of pregnancy in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of pregnancy (gravidity)</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>55/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 and more</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
history of two or more cesarean sections.\textsuperscript{16} It is worth noting that placenta previa is one of the important risk factors for placenta accreta formation, those who have a history of cesarean section and placenta previa in ultrasound screening are considered to be at high risk for placenta accreta, and follow-up sonography is recommended. This shows the independence of factors such as maternal age, cesarean section and placenta previa in the occurrence of placenta accreta. Another study showed that in patients with placenta previa, the risk of placenta accreta was 3\% in women with no previous cesarean section, while in women with one cesarean section the risk of disease was 11\%. Based on the data presented in studies, placenta accreta risk varied from 40\% in patients with two previous cesarean sections to 69\% in subjects with three or more cesarean sections.\textsuperscript{3,5} A significant relationship between placenta accreta with history of curettage, emergency cesarean section, patient’s age and gravidity were not established in our study. The results of other studies are contrary to our findings. Almost all studies have shown age association with placenta accreta and is known as an independent risk factor for placenta accreta, where the age of 35 years has been considered as a risk age in many studies.\textsuperscript{9-11} In some studies, there has been no relationship between placenta accreta, history of curettage and emergency cesarean section, but other studies described these variables as risk factors of placenta accreta.\textsuperscript{9-11} Only one case of placenta accreta was present in our study. We need to consider all of the aforementioned findings in light of low sample size and low number of confirmed cases with placenta accreta. The relationship of placenta accreta with myomectomy and surgery for uterine anomalies was not observed in our study, which could be due to low sample size and low uterine surgeries in the population under study. As previous studies indicated, there have been a significant correlation between surgery on the uterus and placenta accreta, which was justified by a similar mechanism of C-sections scars (defecation in decidua basalis and endometrial injury). Due to the very low prevalence of placenta accreta and the presence of a case of placenta accreta in this study, the evaluation of the relationship between the disease and the assessed factors requires a study with a larger sample size. In an early assessment of people in terms of the probability of placenta accreta, subsequent evaluation of high-risk individuals will be more important. In conclusion, we recommend that mothers with a history of cesarean section need further evaluation for site of placentation and c-section scar. Regarding to the insufficient sample size due to low prevalence of placenta accreta in this study, another study with higher observations is recommended to evaluate the factors affecting placenta accreta. On the other hand, in order to estimate the actual prevalence of placenta accreta in Iran, a comprehensive study should be conducted in light of all types of pregnancy in a wide range of time.
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